

Final Evaluation Report

(As per Rule 35 of Public Procurement Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency:	Pakistan Railways			
2. Method of Procurement:	Quality & Cost Based System (QCBS)			
3. Title of Procurement:	FEASIBILITY STUDY AND TRANSACTION ADVISORY SERVICES FOR NEW RAIL LINK FROM GAWADAR TO NOK-KUNDI (675KM).			
4. Tender Inquiry No.	PID (L) 3175, PID (L) 3633-C			
5. PPRA Ref No. (TSE):	TS537006E, TS539687E, EV61426			
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing :	23rd May, 2024 at 02:00 hrs.			
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening :	02nd July, 2024 at 11:30 hrs.			
8. No. of Bids Received:	Total seven (07) bids were received. Five (05) out of seven (07) declared responsive and eligible for opening of financial bid			
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation:	Most advantageous bid on Quality & Cost Based method of selection.			
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation	Below in the Table			
Name of Bidder	Marks		Evaluated Cost (Rs. In Million)	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/ Policy/ Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004.
	Technical (80%) (if applicable)	Financial (20%) (if applicable)		
M/s NESPAK JV with Yuksel Domanic, TCDD TKNIK, IQ Group and RIAA Barker.	74.720	11.151	251.104	Ranked "2nd" as per clause 2.20.4 of Instructions to Consultant (Data Sheet) of RFP
M/s CREEC (China) JV with M/s CRIMSON, M/s RHC and M/s UHY Hassan Naem & Co.	77.120	20.000	140.000	Ranked "1st" as per clause 2.20.4 of Instructions to Consultant (Data Sheet) of RFP
M /s SPT JV with BOTEK, Zeeruk, Invigo, Hard Global Advisory.	72.320	11.245	249.000	Ranked "3rd" as per clause 2.20.4 of Instructions to Consultant (Data Sheet) of RFP
M/s ARDUNAY (Spain) JV with BAK.	68.240	8.000	350.000	Ranked "5th" as per clause 2.20.4 of Instructions to Consultant (Data Sheet) of RFP
M/s EA JV with EY Ford Rhodes, Farooq Khan and Mirza and TUMAS.	72.320	9.396	298.000	Ranked "4th" as per clause 2.20.4 of Instructions to Consultant (Data Sheet) of RFP
M/s PRACS JV with M/s Bridge Factor	-	-	-	Declared "NON-RESPONSIVE" in Technical Evaluation as the firm failed to comply with the Mandatory Requirement # A (ii) (Page # 19 of RFP Document)
M/s Umar Munshi Associates JV with M/s I. Consult and M/s Nawaz Hussain Sikander	-	-	-	Declared "NON-RESPONSIVE" in Technical Evaluation as the firm failed to comply with the Mandatory Requirement # A (i) (Page # 19 of RFP Document)

Most Advantageous Bidder: M/s CREEC (China) JV with M/s CRIMSON, M/s RHC and M/s UHY Hassan Naem & Co.

11. Any other additional/supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share

Signature: _____

Official Stamp: _____

Dy. Chief Engineer/S&C
Pakistan Railways
Headquarters Office, Lahore

05/07/2024