

TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT

(As per Rule 35 of PP Rules 2004)

No. PR-LF/2024-982/LP


Dated: 22-11-2024

- Name of Procuring Agency: P.R Locomotive Factory Risalpur.
- Method of Procurement: Single stage two envelope system [As per rules 36 (b)].
- Title of Procurement: Procurement of Tools - 17 Items
- Tender inquiry No: PR-LF/2024-982/LP
- EPADS Ref No: (E-241007854)
- Date & Time of Bid Closing: 21-10-2024 at 11:00.
- Date & Time of Bid Opening: 21.10-2024 at 11:30.
- No. of bids received: Four offers received.
- Criteria for Bid Evaluation: As per specification/Samples/Inserted clauses.

Details of Bid (s) Evaluation By Nominated Committee:

S.#	Name of Bidders	Result of Technical evaluation	Rule/Regulation/SMD/Polley, Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules,2004
1.	M/s. Afshal Traders, Kabul River Railway Station, Al Nazar Market, Nowshera Kalan, Nowshera.	Suitable Items No. 1,2,3,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16 & 17	The bid found to be responsive and technically compliant and samples declared suitable
		Un-Suitable Item No.4	Item No.4 The firm has offered material of Japan Origin & brand supplier name Nachi. However, the sample provided is Koki Japan. Hence considered Technically Un-Suitable .
2.	M/s. ACS Traders Shop No.04 Armina Market Mardan.	Suitable Items No 3,6,7,8 & 10	The bid found to be responsive and technically compliant and samples declared suitable.
		Un-Suitable Items No. 1,2,4,5,9,11,12,13 & 14	Item No.1 The sample provided by the firm against this offer is rejected due to wheel grading too hard .Hence found Technically Un-Suitable .
			Item No.2 The sample provided by the firm against this offer is rejected due to over sized sample. Hence found Technically Un-Suitable .
			Item No.4 The sample provided by the firm against this offer is rejected due to two parts not in a single piece which will cause leakage. Hence found Technically Un-Suitable .
			Item No.5 The sample provided by the firm against this offer is rejected due to soft grade which cause excessive wear. Hence found Technically Un-Suitable .
			Item No.9 The sample provided by the firm against this offer is rejected due to not as per demand & supplied damage. Hence found Technically Un-Suitable .
			Item No.11 The sample provided by the firm against this offer is rejected due to improper size. Hence found Technically Un-Suitable .
			Item No.12 The sample provided by the firm against this offer is rejected due to different Model i.e MCLNR 2525M12.Hence found Technically Un-Suitable .
			Item No.13 The sample provided by the firm against this offer is rejected due to improper size. Hence found Technically Un-Suitable .
			Item No.14 The sample provided by the firm against this offer is rejected due to improper sample. Hence found Technically Un-Suitable .

2.	M/s. ACS Traders Shop No.04 Armina Market Mardan.	Un-Suitable Items No. 15, 16 & 17	Item No.15 The sample provided by the firm against this offer is rejected due to improper sample. Hence found Technically Un-Suitable .
			Item No.16 The sample provided by the firm against this offer is rejected due to improper sample. Hence found Technically Un-Suitable .
			Item No.17 The sample provided by the firm against this offer is rejected due to under sized sample. Hence found Technically Un-Suitable .
3	M/s. Rafiq Machinery Store, 41 Brandreth Road, Lahore.	Un-Suitable Items No. 1,3,4,5,7,8,9,10 & 11	The offer of M/s Rafiq Machinery Store Lahore, rejected due to hand written quotations Hence found Technically Ignored .
			-do-
			-do-
			-do-
			-do-
			-do-
			-do-
			-do-
		N.Q Items No. 2,6,12,13,14,15,16 & 17	-----
4	M/s. Shani, Shop No.24, Dagona Chowk Khatoo Khel, Nowshera Kalan, Nowshera.	Suitable Items No. 3,4,7,8,14 & 16	The bid found to be responsive and technically compliant and samples declared suitable.
		Un-Suitable Items No. 1,9,10,11,13 & 15	Item No.1 The sample provided by the firm against this offer is rejected due to coarse grit. Hence found Technically Un-Suitable .
			Item No.9 The sample provided by the firm against this offer is rejected due to not as per demand/specification & supplied. Hence found Technically Un-Suitable .
			Item No.10 The sample provided by the firm against this offer is rejected due to inferior quality. Hence found Technically Un-Suitable .
			Item No.11 The firm has offered material without origin & without brand name. Hence found Technically Un-Suitable .
			Item No.13 The firm did not provide the sample against this offer. Hence found Technically ignored .
			Item No.15 The sample provided by the firm against this offer is rejected due to improper size Hence found Technically Un-Suitable .
			N.Q Items No. 2,5,6,12,17


 (Rafiqullah Khan) 22/11/24

Assistant Controller of Store
 for Managing Director/LF
 Risalpur.

Copy to:-

1. Director/MIS, Pakistan Railways, Headquarter Office, Lahore for information.